

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 03/19/2004 10/804,387 Gregg Z. Adelman 034401.00001 7231 **EXAMINER** 27863 7590 01/28/2005 MCNAIR LAW FIRM, PA RAMIREZ, RAMON O P.O. BOX 10827 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER GREENVILLE, SC 29603-0827 3632

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . /   | Application No.                     | Applicant(s)                         |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|   | 10/804,387                          | ADELMAN, GREGG Z.                    |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                            | Art Unit                             |
|   | RAMON O. RAMIREZ                    | 3632                                 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                                     |                                      |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                                     |                                      |
| Status  |                                     |                                      |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19   | March 2004                          |                                      |
|   | is action is non-final.             |                                      |
| <b>,</b> —  |                                     | rosecution as to the ments is        |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                                     |                                      |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte studyio, 1000 C.B. 11, 400 C.C. 210.  |                                     |                                      |
| Disposition of Claims   |                                     |                                      |
| P)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                     |                                      |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                     |                                      |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                     |                                      |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.   |                                     |                                      |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                                     |                                      |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |                                     |                                      |
| Application Papers  |                                     |                                      |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                     |                                      |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |                                     |                                      |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                                     |                                      |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |                                     |                                      |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |                                     |                                      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  | •                                   |                                      |
| •   | n neigribu under 25 II.S.C. \$ 110/ | a) (d) or (f)                        |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                                     |                                      |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |                                     |                                      |
| application from the International Bure   | 4                                   | -                                    |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                                     |                                      |
|   |                                     |                                      |
| Attachment(s)   |                                     |                                      |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summar                 |                                      |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail [                  | Date<br>Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/19/04</li> </ol>  | 6) Other:                           | Tatom Application (1 10-102)         |

Art Unit: 3632

#### **Detailed** Action

This is the first Office Action corresponding to original filing. The application contains 14 claims.

# Information Disclosure Statement

Receipt is acknowledged of Information Disclosure Statement, which has been reviewed by the Examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the claims it is not clear if Applicant is reciting the IV bag as a positive element of the combination or not. For example in claim 1, lines 8 and 9 recite the IV bag as a subcombination, but in lines 12-15, it is claimed as a positive element. Something similar is shown in claim 14. The examiner suggests to re-write lines 12-15 (in claim 1) to focus on the bag support member and not in the IV bag. This should also be done in claim 14.

Further, no proper antecedent is found in claim 1, line 12, and claim 14, line (3) for "the intravenous bag".

Art Unit: 3632

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly by Kunick (D 457,239).

Kunick discloses an IV bag stand comprising a base with a plurality of legs carried by a telescopic member, a plurality of telescopic members, a C-shaped hanger, and locking and stop means.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Bekanich (Pat No 4,725,027).

The patent to Bekanich discloses an IV stand comprising a base (11) with a plurality of legs carried by a telescopic member (17), a plurality of vertical support members (14, 16), a hanger (13) for supporting IV bags, and lock and stop members (19, or 35).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 3632

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bekanich (4,725,027) in view of Kunick (D 457,239).

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the stand shown by Bekanich with a C-shaped hanger as shown by Kunick as an alternative type of hanger.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Raia (2,957,187), Lin (5,772,162) and Hunter (4,966,340) show stands of interest sharing some common elements of the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMON O. RAMIREZ whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 3632

308-0748 (after April 2005, the phone may be (571) 272-6821). The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY, IST FRIDAY OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LESLIE BRAUN can be reached on (703) 308-2156 (after April 2005, the phone may be (571) 272-6815).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3632

A shortened period for response to this Office Action expires THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

RAMON O. RAMIREZ

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

ROR January 25, 2005